

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/743,818 04/26/2001 Anthony Steven Weiss **GHC11USA** 8602 EXAMINER 05/16/2006 270 7590 **HOWSON AND HOWSON** SCHNIZER, HOLLY G SUITE 210 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER **501 OFFICE CENTER DRIVE** FT WASHINGTON, PA 19034 1656

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Holly Schnizer 1656	Office Action Summary	09/743,818	WEISS, ANTHONY STEVEN	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Ententions of term may be entitled under the provision of 37 CPT 1-18(i), in no event, rowers, may any by be timely filled If NO pends for reply is apposited above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (9) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Failure to reply which the set or extended pend for reply its patistate, cause the application to become ARANDONEO, 23 U.S. C. § 1330, Any steply received by the filled better than these months after the malting date of this communication, even if timely filled. The filled better the malting date of the communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any externed pends to reply its patistate, cause the application to become ARANDONEO, 23 U.S. C. § 1330, Any steply received by the office is the time months after the malting date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any externed pends to reply its patistate, cause the application of timely filled. The provision of the provision o		Examiner	Art Unit	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ■ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed ■ Extension of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed ■ If No period for reply is specified above, the maximum stations period will apply and will expire M. 26 yellow the mailing date of this communication. ■ Fallow to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (33 U.S. C. § 133). Any reply mounted by the Office in the the there are statuted of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any accompliance to the maximum statute period will be advantaged and the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any accompliance to the communication of the communication of the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any statute and the maximum statute period will be advantaged to the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any statute and the provided and the provided and the communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any statute and the provided an		<u></u>	L.	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time may be available under the provision of 3 or ZR1 1350, in no event, however, may a reply be finely fled after SIX (6) MONTISF from the mailing date of this communication. The provision of the communication of the com				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2006. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3]□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 46.48.49.52.54.57.90 and 92-114 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1)□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)□ Information Disclosure Statement(e) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB00) 5)□ Molece of Indepensions Patent Application (PTO-152)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 46.48.49.52.54.57.90 and 92-114 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 4pplication Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No	Status			
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 46.48.49.52.54.57.90 and 92-114 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. 10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) of PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 February 2006.			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Application of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 46.48.49.52.54.57.90 and 92-114 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 46.48-49.52.54.57.90. and 92-114 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the cartified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the cartified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Altachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
4)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Orallospersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Paferences Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Orallospersor's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46,48,49,52,54,57,90 and 92-114</u> is/are pending in the application.			
6) Claim(s) 46, 48-49, 52, 54, 57, 90, and 92-114 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filled on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>46, 48-49, 52, 54, 57, 90, and 92-114</u> is/are rejected.			
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Information Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Profisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some col None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) **Total Copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
, ===, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) D Notice of Informal P		

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/17/06 has been entered.

Status of the Claims

Claims 46, 48-49, 52, 54, 57, 90, and 92-114 are currently pending and have been considered in this Office Action.

Claim Objections--(Withdrawn)

The objection of Claims 60 and 61 for the recitation of "aa" instead of amino acid is withdrawn in light of the cancellation of the claims.

Rejections Maintained

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,818 Page 3

Art Unit: 1656

The present rejection below has been modified from the previous Office Action due to the amendment of the claims. The claims have been amended to limit the method to reducing susceptibility of tropoelastin to serine proteases comprising mutating specific regions of SEQ ID NO:4. However, susceptibility of tropoelastin to any given serine protease could not be reduced by merely mutating a particular serine protease cleavage site because the tropoelastin is susceptible to cleavage by many different serine proteases with different recognition sequences. For example, the Specification indicates that trypsin digestion of tropoelastin was very extensive and, given enough time, resulted in complete degradation (p. 51, lines 1-3). Thus, making a mutation in the serine protease recognition sequence RAAAGLG might reduce susceptibility of tropoelastin to thrombin and kallikrein cleavage at that site (it would not reduce overall susceptibility to thrombin and kallikrein cleavage because they recognize more than one sequence as shown in Table 1) but there is no evidence that it would reduce susceptibility of tropoelastin to trypsin cleavage. Thus, the enablement rejection is maintained for reasons cited in the previous Office Actions and herein. The rejection as modified to address the amendments appears below. A response to Applicants arguments follows the rejection.

Rejection:

Claims 46, 48-49, 52, 54, 57, 90, and 92-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method for reducing the susceptibility of tropoelastin to thrombin, kallikrein, trypsin, plasmin, gelatinase B, or serum by mutating the sequences described in the Specification (see

Table I for example), does not reasonably provide enablement for a method for reducing the susceptibility of a tropoelastin to proteolysis by *any serine* protease comprising mutating *any* sequence of those listed in the claims in the tropoelastin so that the susceptibility of the tropoelastin to any serine protease is reduced. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Page 4

Undue experimentation would be required to characterize all of the possible protease cleavage sites in tropoelastin so that the full scope of the claimed method could be practiced with a reasonable expectation of success. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required, are summarized in In re Wands (858 F2d, 731, 737, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 (Fed. Cir. 1988)). These factors include (1) quantity of experimentation, (2) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (3) the presence or absence of working examples, (4) the nature of the invention, (5) the state of the prior art, (6) the relative skill of those in the art, (7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (8) the breadth of the claims.

The *nature of the invention* involves the finding of potential cleavage recognition sites in the tropoelastin sequence for thrombin, kallikrein, trypsin, plasmin, gelatinase B, and serum by digesting tropoelastin with each protease and sequencing the resulting peptide fragments.

The *breadth of the claims* is so broad as to encompass reduction of the susceptibility of tropoelastin to cleavage by any serine protease by mutating a sequence within the regions of residues 1-8, 1-9, 1-12, 78-86, 81-89, 152-160, 441-451, 515-521.

Art Unit: 1656

564-574, or 593-605 of SEQ ID NO:4 in tropoelastin that results in eliminating the cleavage by any serine protease.

The state of the prior art and relative skill of those in the art is such that those of skill in the art were aware that serine proteases were involved in the processing of tropoelastase. For example, Mecham et al. (references AY, AZ, and AAR of IDS filed May 24, 2001) describe an enzyme that cleaves tropoelastin with a trypsin like specificity. Hayashi et al. (ref. AW) of IDS filed May 24, 2001) describe a 45 kD tropoelastin degradation product processed by a metal protease. And, Romero et al. (ref. AAT of IDS filed May 24, 2001) teaches that calcium dependent proteases, kallikrein, trypsin, and elastase are effective in the degradation of tropoelastin but that the major source of proteolytic activity in serum was not clear. There is no teaching or suggestion in the art of mutating protease cleavage sites contained in tropoelastin in order to decrease susceptibility to protease cleavage. In addition, there are innumerable proteases with unique sequence specificities such that any protein can be completely degraded with a combination of non-specific proteases (for example, pronase, a mixture of non-specific proteases from S. griseus is often used to give complete proteolysis; see Voet and Voet, Biochemistry N.Y., John Wiley & Sons, 1990, p. 116.

The Specification provides *guidance* and examples of resulting peptide sequences after tropoelastin digestion with thrombin, kallikrein, trypsin, plasmin, gelatinase B, and serum (see Table I). The Specification does not provide any examples of a specific tropoelastin wherein a protease cleavage is reduced or

eliminated by mutation of a protease cleavage site. The Specification and claims do provide guidance as to what specific protease cleavage sequences and which amino acids within those sequences could be mutated. For example, the specification and claims indicate that susceptibility of tropoelastin to thrombin, kallikrein, or serum cleavage could be reduced by mutating the sequence RAAAG at position 515 in the human tropoelastin sequence (see Table I and claims) and more specifically by replacing arginine with alanine. Thus, given the examples summarized in Table I and the guidance in the Specification, these methods involving mutating specific sequence to result in reduced susceptibility to specific protease cleavage are considered enabled.

Given the lack of knowledge about tropoelastin susceptibility to proteases other than those tested in the present Specification and their recognition sites in tropoelastin, it would be highly unpredictable as to what sequences other than those described in the Specification could be mutated to reduce protease susceptibility.

Therefore, for the reasons given above, the quantity of experimentation required to practice the claimed method commensurate in scope with the claims is considered undue. To practice the instant invention in a manner consistent with the breadth of the claims would not require just a repetition of the work that is described in the instant application but a substantial inventive contribution on the part of a practitioner which would involve the determination of all the proteases that cleave tropoelastin and the cleavage recognition sites in order to reduce the susceptibility of tropoelastin to proteolysis. It is this additional characterization constitutes undue experimentation.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,818

Page 7

Art Unit: 1656

Applicants argue that the specification provides at least 33 exemplary sequences which may be mutated to reduce the susceptibility of tropoelastin to proteolysis by a serine protease and that in light of this disclosure there is no undue experimentation. This argument has been considered but is not deemed persuasive for the following reasons.

While the specification identifies some potential serine protease cleavage sites in tropoelastin, the specification does not identify all sequences in tropoelastin that are susceptible to serine protease cleavage. Even for the proteases studied, the Specification admits that not all the plasmin and trypsin-produced peptides were able to be identified unambiguously (see p. 54, lines 26-27 of Specification). In addition, page 61 states, "All the peptides sequenced confirmed that cleavage occurred after a Lys or Arg as expected for many serine proteases (Keil 1992). However, tropoelastin contains a large number of Lys and Arg yet only a small number of these residues were actually recognized and cleaved"(p. 61, lines 24-29). Table 1 represents about 11 unique protease recognition sequences (some sequences are repeated because they appear to be recognized by more than one protease), 5 of which are represented in SEQ ID NOs: 8-12. The sequences of SEQ ID NOs: 17-44 are 8 sequences of 8 amino acids representing the single serine protease recognition sequence, RAAAG, wherein each sequence has one or two substitutions of amino acids not required for protease recognition. Second, as explained above, mutation of a serine protease cleavage site would not reduce the susceptibility of tropoelastin to proteolysis by any serine protease because it would have the same susceptibility to all proteases that recognize different

Application/Control Number: 09/743,818

Art Unit: 1656

cleavage sites than the one mutated. For example, kallikrein (a serine protease) appears to cleave at the following sequence: R/SLSPELREGD (see Table 1). However, this sequence is not cleaved by thrombin (another serine protease). Therefore, mutating the protease recognition site, RSLSPELREGD, might reduce susceptibility of tropoelastin to kallikrein cleavage (see Table 1) but not to thrombin cleavage because thrombin would continue to cleave at its own unique protease cleavage sites. Thus, for the reasons described above and in the previous Office Actions, the rejection is maintained.

New Rejections Necessitated by Amendment Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 46, 48-49, 52, 54, 57, 90, and 92-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The claims have been amended to include regions of specific amino acid sequence that can be mutated. However, there is no support in the Specification for the particular ranges claimed. There is no support for making mutations specifically at one or more residues corresponding to residues specifically within positions 1-8, 1-9, 1-12, 78-86, 81-89, 152-160, 441-451, 515-521, 564-574, or 593-605 of SEQ ID NO:4. It is noted that the Specification does provide support for replacing arginine at position 515 with an alanine. However, Claim 93 is rejected because it is not limited to this one substitution and may still have additional mutations at the positions listed in Claim 46 from which it depends. Similarly for Claims 112 and 113, a mutation at ALAAA sequences, which occurs at positions 593-597, is supported in the Specification (p. 14, lines 25-26), however, the claim is drawn to this mutation in addition to others found in the regions listed in Claim 98 that are not supported in the Specification. Thus, the claims are rejected.

Claim Objections

Claims 112 and 113 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Claim 98 from which they depend is limited to mutations at positions 1-9, 152-160, and 515-521 of SEQ ID NO:4, yet Claims 112-113 contain an additional limitation of a mutation at positions 593, 595, 596, or 597, is outside the regions given in Claim 98. Thus, the claims are improperly dependent. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend

Application/Control Number: 09/743,818

Art Unit: 1656

the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form.

Claim 105 is objected to for grammatical error in the phrase, "mutating any of the residue 515-521". This could be rewritten as "mutating any of residues 515-521" to overcome this objection.

Conclusions

No Claims are allowable.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Schnizer whose telephone number is (571) 272-0958. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday from 10 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on (571) 272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,818 Page 11

Art Unit: 1656

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

How Sonizer May 14, 2006

HOLLY G. SCHNIZER, PH.D. PATENT EXAMINER